



NOMINATION FORM - INSTRUCTIONS

This form may be filled out by a nominator who knows the applicant well or by the applicant themselves. If you are the applicant filling out the form note that the rankings are subjective and we DO want you to enter a ranking.

Most questions have two parts:

1) a subjective ranking from 0-10 representing the relative ranking of accomplishments and impact of the nominee among all the other conservationists known to their applicant in their experience.

2) a brief explanation to justify that ranking (e.g. measurable items, examples, importance to conservation). The criteria are designed to cover a wide range of conservation activities. Not all criteria are intended, or need, to be ranked for each application. Please rate each topic as honestly as possible based upon your experience with the applicant and that topic. The subjective ranking and the explanations will be used by judges to select finalists. Additional information may be requested of finalists later in the selection process. The ACE Steering Committee has selected judges with a wide range of expertise and geographical interests. It is likely that judges will already be aware of the applicants to some degree. This nomination form is an opportunity to highlight the achievements that best represent the applicant's career in conservation and any achievements that may not be reflected by the usual scientific benchmarks.

After you have submitted an application please email a notice to Kimberly Fletcher at info@wildscapesfoundation.org so we may confirm the submission with you.

APPLICATION DEADLINE: AUGUST 31, 2017 5pm Mountain Standard Time (United States)

PLEASE NOTE THAT our PREFERRED method of submission is via the online submission form.

AWARD FOR CONSERVATION EXCELLENCE NOMINATION FORM

NAME OF NOMINEE:

NAME OF PERSON SUBMITTING APPLICATION (NOMINATOR) :

DESCRIBE IN ONE PARAGRAPH THE NOMINEE'S FIELD OF EXPERTISE AND GEOGRAPHIC AREA OF WORK.



APPROXIMATE NUMBER OF YEARS NOMINEE HAS WORKED IN THIS FIELD

CONSERVATION ACHIEVEMENTS

Protection of species or biotic communities (RANK 0-10)

Brief explanation of ranking.

Protection of land/marine/aquatic area and habitat (RANK 0-10)

Brief explanation of ranking.

Effective contributions to governmental policies/regulations supporting conservation (RANK 0-10)

Brief explanation of ranking.



Effective resistance to activities harmful to species/ecosystems (RANK 0-10)

Brief explanation of ranking.

Other conservation achievements, please list.

Brief explanation of ranking.

SCIENTIFIC ACHIEVEMENTS

Peer-reviewed journal articles (RANK 0-10)

Brief explanation of ranking, approximate number or impact of articles written.

Non-reviewed articles (RANK 0-10)

Brief explanation of ranking, approximate number of articles written.

Books written (RANK 0-10)

Brief explanation of ranking, number of books written.



Books edited (RANK 0-10)

Brief explanation of ranking, number of books edited.

Book chapters written (RANK 0-10)

Brief explanation of ranking, number of book chapters written.

Other scientific achievements, ie. Awards, Honors. (RANK 0-10)

Brief explanation of ranking.

EDUCATIONAL ACHIEVEMENTS

Mentorship. (RANK 0-10)

Brief explanation of ranking, Number of years nominee has mentored students, staff, volunteers etc.

Passing on the torch of conservation.

Brief explanation of ranking, Approximate number of persons mentored

Authored publications used in educational settings (RANK 0-10)



Brief explanation of ranking, number of relevant publications

Is nominee and/or their work widely recognized and cited by colleagues (RANK 0-10)

Brief explanation of ranking.

Other educational achievements (RANK 0-10)

Brief explanation of ranking.

OUTREACH ACHIEVEMENTS

Popular articles (RANK 0-10)

Brief explanation of ranking, approximate number of articles

Popular films or videos (RANK 0-10)

Brief explanation of ranking, approximate number of films or videos

Wide appeal: audiences include both hunters and non-hunters, both rural and urban (RANK 0-10)

Brief explanation of ranking.



Depth of appeal: imparts deeper understanding of wildlife and ecology (RANK 0-10)

Brief explanation of ranking.

Name recognition: is applicant or their work recognized widely by the public for outreach work (RANK 0-10)

Brief explanation of ranking.

Other outreach achievements (RANK 0-10)

Brief explanation of ranking.

OTHER PEER SUPPORT AND APPROVAL

Letters of recommendation (**3 required:** at least one from a person with academic standing

(ie. PhD or Masters. (Rank each letter 0-10)

Important offices or positions held in the applicant's field (RANK 0-10)

Brief explanation of ranking.



LOCAL SUPPORT AND APPROVAL

Contributions to human community enrichment (RANK 0-10)

Brief explanation of ranking.

Contributions to human community-based conservation (RANK 0-10)

Brief explanation of ranking.

Name recognition: is applicant or their work recognized widely at a local level (RANK 0-10)

Brief explanation of ranking.

BROAD EXPERTISE rather than single species expert

Concern with large scale ecosystem processes (RANK 0-10)

Brief explanation of ranking.

Involved in habitat conservation/restoration (RANK 0-10)

Brief explanation of ranking.



Record of multiple projects/activities related to same ecosystem or biotic community
(RANK 0-10)

Brief explanation of ranking.

Contributions to natural history/ecology (RANK 0-10)

Brief explanation of ranking.

Contributions to conservation (RANK 0-10)

Brief explanation of ranking.

Contributions to sustainable use policy (RANK 0-10)

Brief explanation of ranking.

IMPORTANCE OF RECOGNITION. Not already a high-profile public figure, ACE will be a meaningful contribution to the applicant's public and personal life. (RANK 0-10)

Brief explanation of ranking.

FINAL ESSAY:



The applicant, or nominee, should write a paragraph or two explaining why this award; from Cabela's, Bass Pro Shops, and The Banovich Wildscapes Foundation, would be important and meaningful to them and to their work in conservation.